

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,272	11/14/2003	Atsushi Uejima	08780002AA	7945
30743 7	7590 05/20/2005		EXAMINER	
WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C. 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD			GLEITZ, RYAN M	
SUITE 340	I HILLS KOAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA	20190		2852	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/712,272	UEJIMA ET AL.	_
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	M
	Ryan Gleitz	2852	
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation.  18 ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirm only period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on .		
	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	·	•	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8,12 and 14-16 is/are reje 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7,9-11,13 and 17-22 is/are ob 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration. cted. jected to.		•
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	•	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 200	04 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒	objected to by the Examine	er.
Applicant may not request that any objection	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	•	* * · · · *	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have been I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National S	tage
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/14/03.</li> </ol>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

Figures 1 and 2 are objected to because the cross hatching used does not reflect the materials of sheet 10. See MPEP 608.02, IX.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the actuator (claims 10, 19), the magnetic printer head (claims 11, 20), and the roller (claims 12, 21) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Specification

The abstract is objected to for using legal phraseology. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "comprising", "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract uses the term "comprising" on lines 5 and 7.

Claim 22 is objected to because "excution" should be --execution--. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Objections

Claim 2 is objected to because the word "which" is unclear and should be removed.

Claim 9 is objected to because "the image" lacks antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gover et al. (DE 1,629,805).

Gover et al. disclose a surface treatment apparatus including a sheet heating unit (14) which heats a sheet containing at least a thermoplastic resin layer. See abstract, line 1.

A sheet depression and protrusion-forming unit (15) is disposed on a downstream process side of the sheet heating unit (14) which forms depressions and protrusions on the thermoplastic resin layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,272

Art Unit: 2852

Regarding claims 2 and 5, the sheet heating unit (14) heats the sheet at a temperature equal to or higher than the softening point of a thermoplastic resin in the thermoplastic resin layer. See abstract, lines 8-9.

Regarding claim 3, a thermoplastic resin forming the thermoplastic resin layer is a polyethylene resin. See abstract, line 6.

Regarding claims 6 and 8, deeply formed surface structures are easily obtained as for example, reliefs resembling carpets, which reads on at least one of a depression depth, a protrusion height, and a depression and protrusion surface density can be adjusted according to customer specifications. See abstract, lines 12-13.

Regarding claim 12, the sheet depression and protrusion-forming unit (15) is a roller having surface depressions and protrusions against the sheet.

### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 4, 5, and 14-16 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3-6 and 17-21 of copending Application No. 10/706981. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they

Application/Control Number: 10/712,272

Art Unit: 2852

are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the copending application does not limit the invention such that the sheet depression and protrusion-forming unit is disposed on a downstream process side of the sheet heating unit. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the sheet depression and protrusion-forming unit must be disposed on the downstream process side of a heating unit because gloss treatment cannot be carried out on a completely unfixed toner image. The image would be damaged.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7, 9-11, 13, and 17-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Johnson et al. (US 5,153,656) disclose an image forming apparatus including heating roller, wherein the pressure of the heating roller is changed to control the glossiness of the sheet.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,272 Page 6

Art Unit: 2852

Spain et al. (US 5,203,941) disclose a process for manufacturing siding panels including a heating unit and embossing rolls.

Rajan (US 5,672,381) discloses a method of printing a sign including a heating unit and a depression and protrusion forming unit downstream of the heating unit.

### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Gleitz whose telephone number is (571) 272-2134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9:00AM and 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Arthur Grimley can be reached on (571) 272-2136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H rg

Arthur T. Grimley
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800